Exhibit 3

Lee Emerson e-mail to Feathers

re: Organizational Fee reimbursement

held by SEC and not disclosed

to The Court

Print | Close Window

3/16/2014

Workspace Webmail: Print

Subject: Re: Operating Agreement, etc.

From: Ivemerson@comcast.net

Date: Mon, Jun 21, 2010 6:57 am

To: mark@sbcapital.com

did not request redemption. However, the requests were 100 percent unanimous as some of these investors who did not listen to Luben's comment. The reason my investors are loyal to me is they know from experience that, when they listen to me and ask for force anyone to pay heed to my suggestion. Usually there would be one or two in the current Emerson Group in both Funds who I'll refax the email I sent Saturday. If I were you, I would not write potentially slanderous statements in an email, e.g. Stein and their funds back, they have always received their principal in full. Those who have not listened to me have lost money. I can't my suggestion in the past have suffered losses.

Have you received the Gospich check from the court yet? Thanks, Lee

Original Message -

From: mark@sbcapital.com

To: Ivemerson@comcast.net

Sent: Sunday, June 20, 2010 10:51:59 PM GMT -06:00 US/Canada Central

Subject: RE: Operating Agreement, etc

Lee I'm not aware of any emails that you sent last week; please resend.

Mark Feathers

President, Small Business Capital, Nationally Licensed SBA Lenders CEO, SB Capital, Managing Member, Investors Prime Fund

419 S. San Antonio Rd., Suite 213

Los Altos, CA 94022

(650) 559-5601 ph. x. 106 (650) 559-5661 fax

CONFIDENTIALITY DISCLOSURE

original message. The foregoing name, telephone number, facsimile number and email information is provided to the recipient for informational purposes only and is not intended to be the signature of the sender for purposes of binding the sender or SB Capital or any client of the sender or the firm, to any disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the This e-mail is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use,

contract or agreement under the Uniform Electronic Transaction Act or any similar law. Thank you for your courtesy and cooperation. Workspace Webmail: Print

3/16/2014

------ Original Message --

Subject: Re: Operating Agreement, etc.

Date: Sat, June 19, 2010 2:26 pm

To: mark@sbcapital.com

Mark, you must know that the information from April Anair you report to me is "hearsay" aka "Gossip" from another party, neighbor, and investor. Any issues you want to discuss about me, and my association with you and your company, but also slanted by your own interpretation of what you may have or may not have heard. April is my friend, former should be addressed directly to me.

2009 she had been terminated was unncessary. Again, heresay (gossip) and backbiting. I did not for one minute believe To describe my protection of my investors as "wounded pride" is another of your backbiting techniques, that I suffered were shocked to learn she was no longer with SB Capital as she was the one person on your staff they could count on your disgusting story about Christine. She is a very bright, experience lady and a professional. Many of my investors know I am included in the group. The foul language you attributed to Christine Corso when you told me in December through during our face to face meetings, phone conversations and emails. No one who crosses you is exempt so I when they had a question or wanted a statement for their retirement account.

Monday, June 21st. Once we have the 2nd opinion, we will decide the appropriate response to your request for a vote Re your voting request. I have had my CPA review your request. Another CPA, who has not been available, but in New York completing audits for a company there, will be providing his input and evaluation of your voting requests on Items #1 and #2.

lencouraged you to change attorneys because I tired of your frequent complaints about Stein and Lubin's "excessive" fees and charges. As the Fund Manager, you have the power to change law firms/attorneys at any time you choose. If approval of a majority of investors to hire new attorneys was required, it would be in the Operating Agreement, and its not.

Further, your request for a vote of the investors to allow you to repay the Fund some amount of organizational and syndication expenses deducted from the Fund, is not necessary.



Per pages 18 and 19 of the Operating Agreement,

organizational, syndication and operating expenses incurred on behalf of the Company, including without limitation, out of pocket general and administrative expenses of the Company, accounting and audit fees, legal fees and expenses, "10.4, Reimbursement of Manager for Certain Expenses. The Manager shall be reimbursed by the Company for all postage, and preparation of reports to Members." You want to reimburse us money. Who would object? The Operating Agreement does NOT contain any requirement of a majority vote of the investors to empower you to return to the Fund any of the expenses you have charged the Fund. It is simply your choice.

Your statement, "Do you want to to slow down the redemptions" is typical of your ilk Redemptions of our shares in SBC Fund have nothing to do with the outcome of your proposed voting on changes to the Operating Agreement.

inuendos, backbiting, and "second hand hearsay information" to avoid addressing the real isues does not work with me or my investors. We have the right to request the input of CPA's or any other professional when asked to vote. We also have the right to vote one way or the other or to abstain. The right to vote in the USA is not and has never been Your email reply avoids addressing any of the legitimate inquiries I emailed to you last week. Your constant use of compulsory. I believe that applies even to you.

Kelley. These are not fiction. You have created your own reality about your company and your two Funds. You attempt to use the "why are you so ungrateful approach after all I have done for you," while suggesting that my recommendations to You continue to sidestep the real issues of nine causes of action against you prepared by Dan Ballesteros and Colleen my investors are without basis. Good try, but it doesn't work with the Emerson Group. They clearly understand who has their best interests at heart.

The Emerson Group is heartily in agreement that you complete redemption of our shares in both Funds at the earliest forward with SB Capital, unencumbered with hostile investors. If you want to get past "fielding constant calls, faxes, possible date. I have suggested to you several times that redeeming us as soon as possible allows you to move letters, emails, etc. threat of lawsuit, etc. from my group, redeem our shares and let us go.

Lee V. Emerson I am faxing this email entire mail to you so you have a hard copy to which you may easily refer.

Workspace Webmail :: Print